

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/804,430	08/10/2000	Graeme C. McKinnon	S13.12-0106	7290
26181 7:	590 07/01/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			SMITH, I	RUTH S
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/01/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/804,430	MCKINNON, GRAEME C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ruth S. Smith	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
• •	DEDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE ON	IONITU(O) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r cation. iays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 23 May 2005				
· _					
<u>'</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50 and 52-69</u> is/are pending	o in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 65-69</u> is/are allowed					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-34,40-50 and 53-64</u> is/are					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-39 and 52</u> is/are objected	-				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	<del>-</del> ,,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	vereign promy ander de dreier	, (-, (-, -, (,			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.				
	ocuments have been received in A	Application No.			
•	the priority documents have been				
application from the Internationa	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action to	•	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/804,430

Art Unit: 3737

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/9/05 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-34,40-50,53-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinson. Rubinson which discloses a coaxial cable placed within a catheter in order to image blood vessels in a body using MRI. The probe includes an outer conductor and an inner conductor. These conductors provide an antenna which includes an open conductor length where the open conductor length includes at least one open ended conductive element. The probe is coupled to an MRI system which inherently includes the structure of the controller and processor and means for generating fields as set forth. The structure set forth by Rubinson inherently is capacitively coupled to an EM field generated by the EM radiation. The structure of the probe of Rubinson inherently provides a guidewire in that the coaxial cable is capable of functioning as such. Rubinson discloses the use of an electrical source for providing the RF pulses to the conductor. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have used the MRI system as means for providing such signals. The modification involves the selection of an already available source to provide such signals thereby eliminating the need to add additional circuitry to the system. Applicant discloses that it

is well known in the art to determine antenna location from the detected MR signals. Furthermore, it is old and well known in the art to repeatedly obtain the MR signals for use in imaging a part of a patient. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have determined antenna position and orientation from the detected signals using known data processing techniques in order to accurately determine if the image is obtained from a desired location in the patient. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to repeatedly acquire the data in order to provide a high-resolution image as is also a well known expedient in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-27,65-69 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 35-39,52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The probe disclosed by Rubinson does include an open ended conductive element. The claim language does not preclude an additional element of the plug 18 across the conductive element.

#### Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3737

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S. Smith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3737